Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/573,132	ERNST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>JEFFREY H. MURRAY</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>MICHAEL A. PATANE</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 September 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-3 and 11</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant and examiner discusssed the after-final amendment filed on August 6, 2008. It was determined that only a minor typographical error was seen and applicants gave permission to examiner to fix it so as to put the application in better condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	nit 1624	